septemper 20, 1904

Introduced	1 by:_	Cynthia	Sullivan
•	-		
Proposed 1	٠o	84-512	

ordinance no. $\underline{6949}$

AN ORDINANCE relating to administration of the State Environmental Policy Act and related rules and regulations, repealing and substituting a new county environmental procedures code; repealing K.C.C. 20.44.010 through 20.44.160; adding a chapter to Title 20 entitled County Environmental Procedures, amending Ordinance 6465, section 13; Ordinance 4461, section 1 and K.C.C. 20.24.070 and amending Ordinance 5570, section 6; Ordinance 5002, section 16, Ordinance 4461, section 2 and 3, and K.C.C. 20.24.080 and 090.

PREAMBLE:

Based on the high volume of permit applications and the existence of adequate regulations to mitigate the environmental impact of small projects, King County elects to use the maximum level of categorical exemptions allowed by the State Environmental Policy Act Rules, WAC 197-11-800 (1) (c).

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:

SECTION 1. Ordinance 3026, Ordinance 3821, Ordinance 4462, Section 10 and 11, Ordinance 5224, Section 1, Ordinance 5788, Section 1 and Ordinance 6152, Section 1, and K.C.C. 20.44.010 through 20.44.160 are each hereby repealed.

NEW SECTION. SECTION 2. There is hereby added a new chapter to Title 20 of the King County Code entitled "County Environmental Procedures" to include sections 3 through 13 of this ordinance.

NEW SECTION. SECTION 3. Definitions and abbreviations.

- A. King County adopts by reference the definitions contained in WAC 197-11-700 through 197-11-799. In addition, the following definitions are adopted for this chapter:
- 1. "County Council" means the county council described in Article 2 of the Home Rule Charter for King County or its duly authorized designee.
- 2. "County department" means any administrative office or executive department of King County, as described in K.C.C. chapter 2.16.
- 3. "County executive" means any county executive described in Article 3 of the Home Rule Charter for King County or his or her duly authorized designee.
 - B. The following abbreviations are used in this chapter:

- 2
- 3
- 5
- 6
- 7 R
- 9
- 10 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23 24
- 25
- 26
- 27 28
- 29
- 30
- 31
- 32
- 33

- SEPA -- State Environmental Policy Act 1.
- DNS -- Determination of Non-Significance 2.
- DS -- Determination of Significance 3.
- EIS -- Environmental Impact Statement

NEW SECTION. SECTION 4. Lead agency. The procedures and standards regarding lead agency responsibility contained in WAC 197-11-050 and WAC 197-11-922 through 197-11-948 are adopted, subject to the following:

- The county department exercising initial jurisdiction over a private proposal or sponsoring a county project shall be responsible for performing the duties of the lead agency. The director of such department shall serve as the responsible official. Department directors may transfer lead agency and responsible official responsibility to any county department which agrees to perform as lead agency or may delegate such responsibility to divisions within their own departments.
- With respect to actions initiated by the county council, the council shall refer such proposals to the county executive for designation of a county department as lead agency.
- In the event of uncertainty or disagreement regarding lead agency status, the county executive shall designate the county department responsible for performing the function of lead agency.

NEW SECTION. SECTION 5. Purpose and general requirements. The procedures and standards regarding the timing and content of environmental review specified in WAC 197-11-055 through 197-11-100 are adopted subject to the following:

Pursuant to WAC 197-11-055(4), the building and land development division shall adopt rules and regulations pursuant to K.C.C. 2.98 establishing a process for environmental review at the conceptual stage of permit applications which require detailed

Я

project plans and specifications (<u>i.e.</u>, building permits and PUD's). This process shall not become effective until it has been reviewed by the council.

- B. The optional provision of WAC 197-11-060(3)(c) is adopted, provided analysis of more than one independent private proposal shall occur in a single environmental document only if all affected private applicants agree to such an analysis.
- C. Under WAC 197-11-100, the applicant shall be responsible for completion of the environmental checklist, unless the lead agency specifically elects to prepare the checklist.

NEW SECTION SECTION 6. Categorical exemptions and threshold determinations.

- A. King County adopts the standards and procedures specified in WAC 197-11-300 through 197-11-390 and 197-11-800 through 197-11-890 for determining categorical exemptions and making threshold determinations subject to the following:
- 1. The determination of whether a proposal is categorically exempt shall be made by the county department that serves as lead agency for such proposal.
- 2. Sensitive area maps adopted by K.C.C. 21.54.130 are designated as maps of environmentally sensitive areas for purposes of WAC 197-11-908. The following categorical exemptions shall not apply should the proposal site be within areas designated as environmentally sensitive pursuant to the sensitive area maps and K.C.C. 21.54.070: WAC 197-11-800 (1), (2)(a-h), (3), (5), (6)(a), (14)(c), (24)(a-g), and (25)(d), (f), (h) and (i).
- 3. The exemption standards for minor new construction contained in WAC 197-11-800(1)(c) are adopted except that filling, excavation and other grading which is both no greater than 500 cubic yards and which is less than five feet of excavation and

10

9

13 14

12

15 16

17 18

20

19

21 22

23

24 25

26

27 28

30

31

29

32 33

less than three feet of fill shall be exempt pursuant to WAC 197-11-800(1)(c)(v).

- B. The mitigated DNS provision of WAC 197-11-350 shall be enforced as follows:
- If the department issues a mitigated DNS, conditions requiring compliance with the mitigation measures which were specified in the application and environmental checklist shall be deemed conditions of any decision or recommendation of approval of the action.
- 2. If at any time the proposed mitigation measures are withdrawn or substantially changed, the responsible official shall review the threshold determination and, if necessary, may withdraw the mitigated DNS and issue a DS.

NEW SECTION. SECTION 7. Environmental Impact Statements.

The procedures and standards for preparation of environmental impact statements contained in WAC 197-11-400 through 197-11-460 are adopted, subject to the following;

- A. Under WAC 197-11-408(2)(a), all comments on determinations of significance and scoping notices shall be in writing, except where a public meeting on EIS scoping occurs pursuant to WAC 197-11-410(1)(b).
- B. Under WAC 197-11-420, the applicant shall be responsible for preparation of an EIS subject to the review and approval of the responsible official, unless the lead agency specifically elects to prepare the EIS. The applicant shall consult with the lead agency in selecting persons or firms to author, co-author, provide special services or otherwise participate in the preparation of a required EIS.

NEW SECTION. SECTION 8. Comments and Public Notice.

The procedures and standards of WAC 197-11-500 through 197-11-570 are adopted regarding public notice and comments.

- 1 2

- B. For purposes of WAC 197-11-510, public notice shall consist of
- 1. For site-specific proposals, posting the property in a minimum of three locations readily observable from adjacent property and public streets.
- 2. Publication of notice in a newspaper of general circulation in the area where the proposal is located.
- 3. The responsible official may require additional notice if deemed necessary to provide adequate public notice of a pending action. Failure to require additional or alternative notice shall not be a violation of any notice procedure.

NEW SECTION. SECTION 9. Use of Existing Environmental Documents.

The procedures and standards of WAC 197-11-600 through 197-11-640 are adopted regarding use of existing environmental documents.

NEW SECTION. SECTION 10. Substantive Authority.

- A. The procedures and standards of WAC 197-11-650 through 197-11-660 are adopted regarding substantive authority and mitigation.
- B. For purposes of RCW 43.21C.060 and WAC 197-11-660(a), the following policies, plans, rules and regulations are designated as potential bases for the exercise of King County's authority under SEPA:
- 1. The policies of the State Environmental Policy Act, RCW chapter 43.21C.020.
- 2. The King County Comprehensive Plan, its addenda, and revisions and community and middle plans and housing report, as specified in King County Code chapter 20.12.
- 3. The King County Zoning Code, as adopted in King County Code Title 21.

3

5

7

9

8

11 12

10

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

21

22

24

25

27

29

31

33

 \mathbb{T}^{\bullet}

6

20

23

26

28

30

32

King County Code chapter 20.54 and Title 26. The King County Landmarks Preservation Code, as adopted in King County Code chapter 20.62.

The King County Agricultural Lands Policy, as adopted in

- The King County Shoreline Management Master Plan, as adopted in King County Code Title 25.
- The King County Surface Water Runoff Policy, as adopted in King County Code Chapter 20.50.
- Any decision to approve, deny or approve with conditions pursuant to RCW 43.21C.060 shall be contained in the responsible official's decision document. The written order shall contain facts and conclusions based on the proposals specific adverse environmental impacts (or lack thereof) as identified in an environmental checklist, EIS, threshold determination, other environmental document including an executive department's staff report and recommendation to a decision maker, or findings made pursuant to a public hearing authorized or required by law or ordinance. The decision document shall state the specific plan, policy or regulation which supports the SEPA decision.
- This chapter shall not be construed as a limitation on the authority of King County to approve, deny or condition a proposal for reasons based upon other statutes, ordinances or regulations.

NEW SECTION. SECTION. 11. On Going Actions.

Unless otherwise provided herein, the provisions of WAC 197-11 shall be applicable to all elements of SEPA compliance, including the modification or supplementation of an EIS, initiated after the effective date of the ordinance.

NEW SECTION, SECTION 12. Responsibility as Consulted Agency.

All requests from other agencies that King County consult on threshold investigations, the scope process, EIS's or other environmental documents shall be submitted to the department of planning and community development. The department shall be responsible for coordination with other affected county departments

and for compiling and transmitting King County's response to such requests for consultation.

NEW SECTION. SECTION 13. Fees.

- A. The following fees are required for applications for nonexempt county licenses and actions filed by a person or noncounty agency in addition to the regular application and inspection fees:
- 1. Actions requiring an environmental checklist shall pay a fee of \$100, plus the cost of public notice, if any, required by WAC 197-11-340(2), provided, the fee shall not apply to the construction, location or repair of a one-family dwelling unit and permitted residential accessory uses.
- 2. Actions requiring preparation of a mitigated DNS or an EIS:
- a. actual cost of time spent by county employees required for review, preparation, supervision of preparation and distribution of the mitigated DNS or the EIS; provided no costs shall be charged for review of the completed mitigated DNS or EIS by county officials as part of the decision-making process.
- b. costs, if any, for experts not employed by the county, texts, printing and for any other actual costs required for preparation and distribution of the EIS; provided that no additional costs can be charged for existing studies or general information already in county files.
- c. a one thousand dollar deposit shall be submitted to the responsible official prior to commencement of EIS preparation to cover costs incurred pursuant to this section. Any unexpended balance shall be refunded.
- B. Charges for reproduction of environmental documents shall be paid pursuant to K.C.C. 2.12.110.

 NEW SECTION. SECTION 14. Appeals.

- A. Appeals of threshold determinations shall be conducted by the zoning and subdivision examiner pursuant to K.C.C. 20.24.080, subject to the following:
- 1. Only one appeal of each threshold determination shall be allowed on a proposal.
- 2. As provided RCW 43.21C.075(3)(d), the decision of the responsible official shall be entitled to substantial weight.
- B. Consideration of the adequacy of the final EIS shall be consolidated in all cases with the public hearing, if any, on the proposal. Administrative appeals regarding adequacy of the EIS shall be consolidated with the administrative appeal, if any, on the proposal. If no public hearing process exists for a proposal, review of final EIS adequacy shall only be by writ of certiorari in King County Superior Court.
- C. 1. Administrative appeals of decisions to condition or deny applications pursuant to RCW 43.21C.060 shall be consolidated in all cases with administrative appeals, if any, on the merits of a proposal.
- 2. If no administrative appeal is otherwise authorized for a proposal, a decision denying or conditioning an application pursuant to RCW 43.21C.060 may be appealed to the zoning and subdivision examiner pursuant to K.C.C. 20.24.080. Such appeals shall be limited to issue of whether or not the application was properly conditioned or denied pursuant to RCW 43.21C.060 and the regulations and ordinances implementing that statute.
- 3. Appeals of threshold determinations must be filed within fifteen days of the lead agency determination.

NEW SECTION. SECTION 15. Department Procedural Rules.

- A. County departments which administer activities subject to SEPA may prepare rules and regulations pursuant to K.C.C. 2.98 for the implementation of SEPA WAC ch. 197-11 and this chapter.
- B. The rules and regulations prepared by the department of planning and community development, which exercises initial

jurisdiction over a private proposal, shall not become effective until approved by council motion.

SECTION 16. Ordinance 6465, section 13; Ordinance 4461, section 1 and K.C.C. 20.24.070 are each hereby amended to read as follows:

Recommendations to the council.

- A. The examiner shall receive and examine available information, conduct public hearings and prepare records and reports thereof and issue recommendations to the council based upon findings and conclusions in the following cases:
 - 1. Applications for reclassifications of property;
 - Applications for unclassified use permits;
 - 3. Applications for planned unit developments;
 - 4. Applications for preliminary plats;
 - 5. Applications for shoreline environment redesignations;
- 6. Applications for boundary adjustments of local sewer service areas in accordance with the county sewerage general plan, Ordinance 4035, Chapter 6, required for development proposals including but not limited to short subdivisions and building permits, which seek or need sewer service but are located outside of existing designated local sewer service areas;
 - 7. Applications for agricultural land variances;
- 8. Applications for review of designations of agricultural lands of county significance of King County agricultural districts;
- 9. Applications to revise the boundaries of agricultural lands of county significance;
- 10. Applications for current use assessment on open space or timber lands except as provided in Section 20.36.090;

Appeals from denials by the county assessor of applications for current use assessments on farm and agricultural lands;

((12---Appeals-of-permit-denials-or-conditions-imposed-on environmental-grounds-pursuant-to-Section-20-44-010;))

((13---Appeals-from-threshold-determinations-concerning actions-subject-to-council-approval;)).

- 12. ((14)). Appeals from decisions regarding residential condominium binding site plan applications pursuant to Section 19.34.050;
- 13. ((15)). Other applications or appeals which the council may prescribe by ordinance.
- The examiner's recommendation may be to grant or deny В. the application or appeal, or the examiner may recommend that the council adopt the application or appeal with such conditions, modifications and restrictions as the examiner finds necessary to make the application reasonably compatible with the environment and carry out applicable state laws and regulations and the regulations, policies, objectives and goals of the comprehensive plan, the community plans, the sewerage general plan, the zoning code, the subdivision code and other official laws, policies and objectives of King County.

SECTION 17. Ordinance 5570, section 6; Ordinance 5002, section 16; Ordinance 4461, section 2 and K.C.C. 20.24.080 are each hereby amended to read as follows:

Final decisions by the examiner.

The examiner shall receive and examine available information, conduct public hearings and prepare records and reports thereof, and issue final decisions based upon findings and conclusions in the following cases:

31

32

33

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

- Appeals from the decisions of the administrator for short subdivisions;
- 2. Appeals ((from)) of threshold determinations
 ((concerning-actions-not-subject-to-council-approval));
- 3. Appeals from notices and orders issued pursuant to Title 23 of this code or the rules and regulations VII of the King County department of public health;
- 4. Appeals from decisions of the department of public works regarding permits or licenses in flood hazard areas pursuant to Section 21.54.100;
- 5. Appeals from conditions imposed on final approvals of subdivisions receiving extensions pursuant to Section 19.28.050 E. or F.;
- 6. Appeals from decisions of the zoning adjustor on administrative conditional use permits, conditional use permits or variances;
- 7. Appeals from decisions regarding site plan approvals pursuant to Section 21.46.180 and pursuant to Ordinance 4122;
- 8. Appeals from decisions regarding the abatement of nonconforming uses;
- 9. Applications for shoreline substantial development permits when combined with other land use applications pursuant to Section 25.32.080;
- 10. Appeals from decisions regarding modification of land-scaping requirements pursuant to Section 21.51.050;
- 11. Appeals from decisions to condition or deny applications pursuant to RCW 43.21C.060, as provided in Section 13 C. 2. of this Ordinance.
- 12. ((11.)) Other applications or appeals which the council may prescribe by ordinance.

- 11 -

The examiner's decision may be to grant or deny the application or appeal, or the examiner may grant the application or appeal with such conditions, modifications and restrictions as the examiner finds necessary to make the application or appeal compatible with the environment and carry out applicable state laws and regulations and the regulations, policies, objectives and goals of the comprehensive plan, the community plans, the sewerage general plan, the zoning code, the subdivision code and other official laws, policies and objectives of King County.

SECTION 18. Ordinance 4461, Section 3 and K.C.C. 20.24.090 are each hereby amended to read as follows:

All notices of appeal regarding any decision being appealed to the zonig and subdivision examiner pursuant to this chapter shall be filed with the county department or division issuing the original deicision within ten calendar days from the date of issuance of such decisions ((-,)) except notices of appeal of state environmental policy act threshold determinations shall be filed within fifteen days of the lead agency determination. All notices of appeal shall state with specificity the decision being appealed and the reasons why the appealed decision should be reversed or modified.

SECTION 19. Severability.

Should any section, subsection, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance be declared unconstitutional or invalid for any reason, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portion of this ordinance.

INTRO	DUCED AND READ	o for the first time this 2740 day	of
angust	2	1984.	
PASSEI	this 24th di	day of <u>Peptember</u> , 1984.	
		KING COUNTY COUNCIL KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON	
		Jary Jour	
·**		Chairman /	

the Council

ATTEST:

DEEMED EMACTED WITHOUT COUNTY EXECUTIVE'S SIGNATURE . 1984.

King County Executive



October 5, 1984

The Honorable Gary Grant Chairman, King County Council C O U R T H O U S E

RE: Ordinance 6949

Dear Mr. Chairman:

Enclosed is Ordinance 6949 establishing new King County environmental procedures under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA). I am allowing Ordinance 6949 to become law without my signature.

We are concerned about the new level of categorical exemptions established by Ordinance 6949. We share with the King County Council the goal of increasing efficiency of the King County permit process to reduce delays and improve our ability to provide service to the public. We do not agree, however, that raising the categorical exemptions for projects not subject to SEPA to the maximum allowed by State law is justified by this goal.

Specifically, we are skeptical that eliminating environmental review for projects up to twenty dwelling units will result in speeding up permit processing. Rather, we believe it may cause occasional but signficant problems in our ability to protect environmental quality in King County.

We will monitor application of the new standards. If our experience demonstrates that the absence of SEPA review for some or all of the newly exempt categories hampers our ability to protect the environment, we will bring this evidence back before the Council and ask for its reconsideration of the categorical exemptions in Ordinance 6949.

400 King County Courthouse 516 Third Avenue Seattle, Washington 98104 (206) 344-4040

Gary Grant October 5, 1984 Page Two

If you have any questions about our concerns, please contact Holly Miller at 344-7503.

ncerel

RANDY. REVELLE

King County Executive

RR:SM:me

King County Councilmembers

ATTN: Cheryle Broom, Program Director

Jerry Peterson, Administrator

Holly Miller, Director, Department of Planning and

Community Development

ATTN: Steve Miller, Deputy Director

Bryan Glynn, Manager, Building and

Land Development Division

Tom Fitzsimmons, Program Development Manager